

REMARKS

These remarks are responsive to the Office Action mailed July 28, 2004 (hereinafter referred to as "the Office Action"). Claims 1-10 are pending and stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being allegedly anticipated by United States patent number 6,594,490 issued to Toyoda et al. (hereinafter referred to as "Toyoda"). The applicants respectfully traverse and request reconsideration for the following reasons. A claim listing is not provided in this response since the claims are unchanged by this response.

Regarding Claims 2 and 5-7

The Office Action rejects all claims and indicates the passage from line 51 of column 1 to line 57 of column 2 of Toyoda (hereinafter referred to as "the cited passage"). The Office Action does not state which element of Toyoda corresponds to what element recited in the claims. However, upon reviewing the cited passage, and comparing the cited passage to the claims, it seems that the examiner considers that "first exchange", "second exchange" and "home memory station" as recited in claims 2 and 7 correspond to "switching center (MSC) 6", "MSC 8" and "active home location register (HLR) 1" of Toyoda, respectively. Similarly, it seems that the examiner considers that "one of said exchanges" (also referred to hereinafter as the "first exchange"), "another exchange" (also referred to herein as the "second exchange") and "home memory location" as recited in claim 5 correspond to "switching center (MSC) 6", "MSC 8" and "active home location register (HLR) 1" of Toyoda, respectively. Furthermore, it seems that the examiner considers that "said exchange" (also referred to hereinafter as the "first exchange"), "another exchange" (also referred to herein as the "second exchange") and "home memory location" as recited in claim 6 correspond to "switching center (MSC) 6", "MSC 8" and "active home location register (HLR) 1" of Toyoda, respectively.

However, Claims 2 and 5-7 each recite that the subscriber profile is transmitted from the first exchange to the home memory station. In contrast, the only thing that is described as being transmitted from the MSC 6 to the HLR 1 is a location-registration-canceling-request response message (S106 and S108 of Figure 3). Here, the location-registration-canceling-request response message is not a subscriber profile.

Furthermore, Claims 2 and 5-7 each recite that the subscriber profile that is transmitted from the first exchange to the home memory station is a subscriber profile that is retained by the first exchange and changed while the mobile terminal is present in a service area of the first exchange. However, in Toyoda, there is no description that a subscriber profile retained by a switching center is changed. That is, in Toyoda, there is only description that a visitor location register (VLR) 9 of the MSC 8 registers the profile of the mobile station 10 which is contained in the location-registration-request response message therein (see column 2, line 66 to column 3, line 2), and the MSC 6 deletes the profile of the mobile station 10 that the MSC 6 has (see column 2, lines 54-55).

Therefore, Toyoda does not describe all of the features recited in any of Claims 2 or 5-7.

Regarding Claims 1 and 4

Claims 1 and 4 each recite the exchange changes a subscriber profile on the basis of a change request and retains the changed subscriber profile. However, in Toyoda, there is no description that a switching center changes a subscriber profile.

Further, Claims 1 and 4 each recite that the exchange retains information indicating that the subscriber profile has been changed. However, in Toyoda et al., there is no description that a switching center retains such information.

Therefore, Toyoda does not describe all of the features recited in any of Claims 1 or 4.

Regarding Claims 3 and 8-10

Claims 3 and 8-10 each recite that a subscriber profile is transmitted from an exchange to a home memory station. This subscriber profile is a subscriber profile that is retained by the exchange and changed while the mobile terminal is present in a service area of the exchange. However, as previously mentioned, Toyoda does not describe that any subscriber profile that is retained by a switching center is changed.

Therefore, Toyoda does not describe all of the features recited in any of Claims 3 or 8-10.

Therefore, withdrawal of the 35 U.S.C. 102(e) is appropriate and is requested. In the event that the Examiner finds remaining impediment to a prompt allowance of this application that may be clarified through a telephone interview, the Examiner is requested to contact the undersigned attorney.

Dated this 25th day of October, 2004.

Respectfully submitted,



Adrian J. Lee
Registration No. 42,785
Attorney for Applicant
Customer No. 022913

AJL:ds
DS0000002664V001